

Bob Caskanette, BAsC., CIEC, EP, LET
Alex Caskanette, BESC., P.Eng
Erik Mahon, BESC., P.Eng
Rene Caskanette, BAsC., P.Eng

Micheka Kostyniuk, BAsC., P.Eng
Jason Richards, P.Eng
Dave Giles

Dave Giles
Direct Phone: 519-496-7007
dave@caskanette.on.ca

August 16, 2024

Clayton Payer
Manager of Housing Initiatives
City of Brantford

Dear Mr. Payer,

Re: Mould Assessment and Abatement Protocol
Address: 359 Darling St., Brantford, ON
Our File No: 24-248CG

Caskanette & Associates Consulting Engineers (Caskanette) was retained on July 10, 2024, to conduct a site assessment and provide abatement procedures related to mould within the attic spaces located at 359 Darling St., Brantford, Ontario (herein referred to as “the Site”), following the discovery of visual mould evidence in the attic spaces of the 4-townhouse complex. Designated substance testing was not included in Caskanette’s scope.

REPORTED INFORMATION

On July 10, 2024, housing initiatives manager Clayton Payer contacted Caskanette after it came to the management’s attention that visible mould was discovered in the attic spaces above some of the units in the complex.

Caskanette was informed that this was a public housing complex, constructed sometime in the 1970’s, and consists of 4 two-storey buildings, containing 50 units in total.

INITIAL SITE ASSESSMENTS

Caskanette attended the site on July 17 and 18, 2024 to conduct a visual assessment and gather mould-in-air samples in the attic spaces of all 4 buildings in the townhouse complex. Of the 50 units (#1-51, excluding number 13) a total of 47 samples were taken, including 2 exterior/background samples. Units 3, 5, 25, 31, and 33 were inaccessible for various reasons, discussed in more detail below. Of the 45 attics observed, 38 had varying amounts of visible mould.



Photograph 1: Mould impacted sheathing and joists found in many of the attics inspected.

In addition to our mould inspection, a limited investigation was done to determine the possible causes of the mould growth. The suspected primary cause is improper venting connections, or the absence thereof within the attic (resulting in high humidity) as well as possible leaks due to the age of the roofing.

The details of our site observations are outlined below in our unit observations.

Table 1: Unit Observations

Unit #	Observations
1	Black staining on sheathing, appears to be rotting. Visible mould on trusses. Vents appear connected. Can see light around vent connections. NOTE* This is an end unit.
2	Sheathing has black mould. Slight mould on trusses. Vents appear connected.
3	Closet not cleaned out, unable to access.
4	White mould on wood. Vents appear connected. Not as bad as other units.
5	Residents sleeping, unable to access.
6	Black mould on all surfaces. Water staining and minor mould on trusses. Vents appear connected. Attic hatch broken; attic space open to living space.

7	Excessive mould in attic space. Evidence of water intrusion around attic vent. Water staining and slight mould on trusses. Rotting sheathing.
8	No visible mould growth and vents appear properly attached. In very good condition overall.
9	Mould on sheathing on one side.
10	Mould on sheathing. Water staining on trusses. Vent not connected. Indications of previous mould repairs.
11	Dry mould on all sheathing. Water staining on trusses and on bedroom ceiling.
12	Black mould on sheathing, possible hole in roof. Water staining on trusses.
14	Excessive mould. Water staining on trusses. NOTE* This was an end unit.
15	Patchy mould, worse around vents. Vents appear to not be connected properly (fixed with duct tape and zip ties). NOTE* This was an end unit. A split in a roof truss was also observed.
16	Extensive mould on sheathing. Wood appears rotted, and trusses appear warped.
17	Water staining on trusses. No other concerns.
18	Mould on sheathing above one corner. Slight water staining on trusses.
19	Mould in one corner and around one vent. Slight water staining on trusses.
20	Worst unit from units 1-20. Extensive mould on sheathing. Slight water staining on trusses. Vents not connected.
21	Mould in one corner and around one vent. Water staining on trusses around vent.
22	Mould in one corner. Vent not connected. Slight water staining on trusses.
23	Excessive mould in the attic. Vents disconnected. NOTE* Unit 23 had been gutted after a flood.
24	Mould on lower sheathing throughout.
25	Could not get access.
26	Minor mould growth on wood surfaces. NOTE* This was an end unit.

27	Prior mould abatement had clearly been conducted. Attic space painted entirely white with new vents installed. NOTE* This was an end unit.
28	Water staining on trusses, but no noticeable mould.
29	Slight water staining, nothing excessive noticed.
30	Slight water staining at peak.
31	Attic hatch sealed shut with screws, unable to access.
32	Black rot around vent pipe, mould around 1 side of attic.
33	Dog and no answer from owner, unable to access.
34	Water staining on attic joists, no visible signs of mould.
35	Small amount of mould around sheathing on one side. NOTE* A steel gas pipe was located in this attic that had a label declaring Asbestos content.
36	Very minor mould growth, a couple spots of black staining. NOTE* This was an end unit.
37	Minor mould on sheathing. Prior mould contamination and abatement done by WINMAR. Roof redone, living space cleaned via wiping of walls. Drywall NOT replaced during prior mould abatement. NOTE* This was an end unit.
38	Prior mould abatement conducted. Lower sheathing painted white.
39	Insulation disturbed; work has been conducted at some point. Minor mould on lower sheathing.
40	Unable to access.
41	Mould throughout attic space, on sheathing and on trusses. Water staining around vents. Hole in piping with a tunnel, suspected to have had vermin at some point. Gap/hole at edge of attic allows a view of exterior light, potential source of water and moisture ingress.
42	Worst unit yet out of units 1-42. Extreme levels of mould on sheathing and trusses.
43	Black mould on sheathing, water staining around vents.
44	Prior abatement conducted; most attic finishes painted white, presumably a sealer of some kind.
45	Minor mould. One sheathing section cracked. No signs of water leakage, vents well connected.

46	Mould sealer paint very poorly applied. Sealer paint missing entirely around vents.
47	Rotten wood around vents. Black staining, excessive mould.
48	One significant mould spot above bathroom. Peak of sheathing is black. NOTE* Dolls
49	Nothing of concern.
50	Vent dislodged, black mould all around it. Water staining on trusses.
51	Excessive mould growth, black on sheathing. Vent suspected to not be connected. Water staining on joists and trusses.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Air Sampling

Samples were collected from stations situated in the attic areas above each unit of the structure, selected to provide a representative measurement of air quality conditions at the time of the assessment. The sampling stations were in every unit accessible to us during our investigation; 45 of 50 units, plus 2 exterior/background samples. Note that while samples were collected for units 11 and 27, the samples were overloaded (expected to be due to the highly friable insulation in the attics) with debris and could not be analyzed by the lab.

Spore trap samples were submitted to EMSL Canada Inc. for analysis of Fungal Spore and Particulates by Optical Microscopy (ASTM D7391). Table 1 below summarizes the results.

Table 2: Spore Trap Sample Results – Mould in Air

Sample ID	Sample Location	Total Spore Count	Aspergillus	Stachybotrys Present (yes/no)
Unit 1	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	48290	8240	No
Unit 2	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	27960	1000	No
Unit 4	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	9160	5800	No
Unit 6	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	14940	3800	No
Unit 7	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	20310	1500	Yes
Unit 8	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	17170	1800	No
Unit 9	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	22080	1500	No
Unit 10	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	15510	1700	No
Unit 11	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	SAMPLE OVERLOADED		
Unit 12	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	7690	2300	Yes

Unit 14	Building 1 (running east-west, nearest Darling St.)	8250	1800	No
Unit 15	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	14040	6740	No
Unit 16	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	20130	7000	No
Unit 17	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	8090	1300	No
Unit 18	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	16130	1700	No
Unit 19	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	18590	2900	No
Unit 20	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	23550	13800	Yes
Unit 21	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	18160	3800	Yes
Unit 22	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	6760	770	No
Unit 23	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	15020	3500	Yes
Unit 24	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	12270	1400	No
Unit 26	Building 2 (running east-west, second building south from Darling St.)	5940	810	No
EXT 17	Exterior/background reading on July 17	63170	430	No
Unit 27	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	SAMPLE OVERLOAD		
Unit 28	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	5090	600	No
Unit 29	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	19050	2700	Yes
Unit 30	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	16150	2600	No
Unit 32	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	21150	1300	No

Unit 34	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	14680	980	No
Unit 35	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	9760	2000	Yes
Unit 36	Building 3 (running east-west, third building south from Darling St.)	5040	100	No
Unit 37	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	3900	770	No
Unit 38	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	6270	470	Yes
Unit 39	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	6110	1000	No
Unit 41	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	1220	40	No
Unit 42	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	5000	2500	No
Unit 43	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	5760	2000	No
Unit 44	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	16680	590	No
Unit 45	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	19000	14000	No
Unit 46	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	11470	3500	No
Unit 47	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	21850	7130	No
Unit 48	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	16100	1900	No
Unit 49	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	4640	400	No
Unit 50	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	11560	1700	No
Unit 51	Building 4 (fourth building running north-south, east of buildings 1-3)	53400	29600	No
EXT 18	Exterior/background reading on July 18	9920	600	No

Bold and Red numbers indicate exceedances of the background quality criteria.

The analytical results indicate extremely elevated mould elements within 72% of attics tested. Of 43 units* tested, 38 units exhibited significantly elevated mould concentrations. High *Aspergillus* levels were detected as well as *Stachybotrys*, Basidiospores, and Ascospores. Due to the level of contamination, this project must proceed as a **Level 3 Mould Abatement**. Please see **Appendix A- Abatement Protocol** below for more details.

*Excluding units 3, 5, 25, 31, and 33 for inaccessibility, and units 11 and 27 for sample overload.

RELEVANT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

Mould

Although there are no regulatory standards providing guidance regarding mould growth, several organizations provide their own guidelines to identify mould contamination and safeguard human health.

Generally, the fungal ecology of indoor air is considered normal when the type and concentrations of mould species within assessment samples are similar to those present in reference samples collected from outdoor air. The fungal ecology is considered problematic when a significant number of mould species within assessment samples is not present in reference samples, or when the concentrations of mould spores within assessment samples are significantly elevated in comparison to reference samples. It should be noted that this guideline may not apply during winter months. Colder outdoor temperatures are not favourable for mould growth, meaning outdoor mould concentrations may be significantly lower than those indoors during winter months. Exterior spore levels may also be reduced during events of precipitation.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention state that fungal ecology is considered problematic when *“the total mold spore concentration per cubic metre is above 10,000”*. Additionally, an article by Ronald E. Gots, M.D., Ph.D., Principal, International Center for Toxicology and Medicine (ICTM) recommends that *“one should be concerned about concentrations of mold (specific species) detected in indoor ambient air that ... are greater than 100 to 200 CFU/m³ or greater than 1000 spores/m³”*.

The following table is also referred to when concluding whether a mould problem exists in an indoor environment:

Table 3 – Indoor Mould Classifications

	Clean Environment	Mouldy Environment
Total Spores (per m³ air)	Less than 1,200	Greater than 1,300
<i>Aspergillus/Penicillium</i> (per m³ air)	Less than 750	Greater than 900
Ascospores/Basidiospores (per m³ air)	Less than 1,200	Greater than 1,300

Finally, the consistent presence of *Stachybotrys* is an indicator that mould contamination exists. *Stachybotrys* is often found in water-damaged and mould-contaminated buildings and is therefore used as an indicator species for mould contamination.

In order to ensure protection of entrants and occupants of the building, Caskanette has chosen to use the most stringent of the above noted criteria in assessing mould samples.

Specifically, we deem the areas of concern to be free from mould-related hazards if all of the following conditions are met:

- No visible mould growth is observed within the building.
- The types and concentrations of mould species present in assessment samples are similar to those in reference samples.
- Total mould spore concentrations in indoor air are below 1,200 spores/m³.
- Total Aspergillus/Penicillium spore concentrations in indoor air are less than 750 spores/m³.
- Stachybotrys mould spores are not detected indoors in any concentration.

If these conditions are not met, further assessment or abatement is recommended.

RESULTS

Based on Table 1 above, 36 units out of 45 units tested exhibited significant mould growth in the attic space. In relation to the entire complex, this means that 36 of 50 (or 72%) of attic spaces require abatement. Broken down, the amount of affected area per building is as follows:

- > 76% of Building 1 (east-west, nearest Darling St.).
- > 91% of Building 2 (east-west, second building south of Darling St.).
- 50% of Building 3 (east-west, third building south of Darling St.).
- > 66% of Building 4 (north-south, east of buildings 1-3).

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMENDATIONS

Based on observations during the site assessment and a review of the analytical results, the following conclusions are presented for consideration:

- Visual mould growth was observed in many of all of the attics observed at the site.
- Mould in air sampling confirmed significantly elevated mould elements in 72% of the total structure (see the per building breakdown above).
- Visual inspection found that at least 13 units had vents that were either disconnected, improperly connected, or showed signs of water/moisture ingress around them. Based on visual and analytical results, issues with venting could be the cause or a contributing factor to mould growth.
- Visual inspection suggests that portions of roofing on all 4 buildings are close to or in need of repair/replacement. Based on visual and analytical results, issues with the roofing could be a cause or contributing factor to mould growth.
- Based on the site observations and conclusions above, Caskanette recommends the removal of mould and impacted building materials be completed as a Level 3 Mould Abatement. Refer to **Appendix A** – Abatement Protocol for greater detail on the requirements of a Level 3 abatement as well as the Environmental Abatement Council of Canada (EACC) Mould Abatement Guidelines, Edition 3 (2015).
- Post abatement mould clearance inspection and air sampling is strongly recommended.

CLOSURE

This completes our preliminary assessment, at this time. **We will await your direction to confirm if post-abatement inspection and clearance air testing is required.** Until then, if you have any questions or require anything further, please advise.

Yours truly,



David Giles
Senior Environmental Consultant
Caskanette Udall Consulting Engineers



 **Professional Engineers
Ontario**
Licensed Engineering Technologist
Name: R. J. CASKANETTE
Number: 100166011
Limitations: Environmental consulting in spill remediation projects of soil and water, indoor air quality, mold and asbestos abatement projects. Fire and explosion investigations of structures, vehicles and equipment.
Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario



Bob Caskanette, B.A.Sc., AMRT, CEC, CRS, CMS, CAQS, CIEC, C.E.T., EP, LET

Appendix A

Level 3 – Mould Abatement Protocol

ABATEMENT PROTOCOL

Based on the results of the initial air sampling results and the area of mould impacted building materials, expected to exceed 10m² in size, remediation of mould contaminated building materials, along with general cleaning of the loss-affected areas, should follow the Level 3 Mould Abatement guidelines, in accordance with the Environmental Abatement Council of Canada (EACC) Mould Abatement Guidelines, Edition 3 (2015). A copy can be provided upon request.

Contractor Requirements

This protocol does not detail the totality of all required measures and procedures outlined within the EACC Mould document. As such, this protocol should be used as a guideline and should be referred to in conjunction with the EACC document.

It is the responsibility of the contractor to confirm that all work conducted at the subject property is in accordance with applicable guidelines and regulation, such as the Ontario Building and Fire Codes, EACC, O.Reg. 490/09, Regulation 347 and the Occupational Health and Safety Act of Ontario.

Only Individuals who have received appropriate training regarding the hazards, personal hygiene, work practice requirement and use and care of personal protective equipment required in mould abatement operations, should be engaged to conduct the pending remedial work.

The following items must be implemented by the Contractor, prior to conduction any remedial work:

- The work area must be encompassed in a containment envelope, to prevent further cross-contamination or spread of mould spores from impacted areas to non-impacted areas of the building. Given the number of units requiring abatement, this requires the containment of all attic spaces.
- Access to and egress from the enclosure must pass through a decontamination facility, to allow for the removal of spores and debris from workers' outerwear.
- All air vents and ducts within the enclosure must be sealed, prior to disturbing any material. As this was not conducted at the onset of the project, duct cleaning and filter changes will be required.
- The enclosure must be maintained under negative pressure (-5 pascals).
- A site-specific work plan must be established, and a copy kept on site for the duration of the project, including:
 - Site Layout,
 - Containment and ventilation details
 - Log of daily filter inspections
 - Log of daily pre-work and post-work enclosure inspections, and
 - Log of pressure readings
 - Worker protection requirements, and
 - Procedures and protocols to be followed during the work (i.e. A copy of this abatement protocol document and the EACC guidelines)

Mould Abatement (Level 3)

The mould abatement shall include the following:

- a) Remove and dispose of all remaining water-damaged building materials and finishes throughout the loss-impacted area.
 - i. Remove all water damaged drywall at least 15cm beyond the edge of visible water damage or microbial growth.
 - ii. Remove and dispose of any mould-impacted insulation (**all attic insulation in affected units**).
- b) Mould impacted wood, if determined to be structurally sound, must be abraded (wire brushed, dry ice, etc.) and sanitized, to prevent reoccurrence of microbial growth. All visible mould impacts must be removed. Chemical cleaning with a **strong disinfectant** such as MMR or Revitalize SR must also be considered, if more feasible. It is expected that this will be the best method for the majority of impacted wood surfaces.
- c) A final top-down cleaning shall be completed within the containment and throughout the enclosure (full structure) by sanitizing, wet wiping and **HEPA vacuuming** where necessary as per the EACC guidelines.

Following the completion of the abatement activities and final cleaning, Caskanette recommends returning to conduct the following:

- A visual assessment within the work area, to ensure that the extent of water and mould damage has been appropriately remediated.
- **Collection of post abatement air samples to document the air quality and confirm loss-related mould has been effectively removed.**

Appendix B

Laboratory Analytical Reports (EMSL)



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location:	552411265-0001			552411265-0002			552411265-0003		
	1			2			4		
	75			75			75		
	Attic of Unit 1			Attic of Unit 2			Attic of Unit 2		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	59	2500	5.2	-	-	-	12	510	5.6
Ascospores	113(242)	10300	21.3	106(199)	8490	30.4	8	300	3.3
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	103(193)	8240	17.1	24	1000	3.6	109(136)	5800	63.3
Basidiospores	101(505)	21500	44.5	110(413)	17600	62.9	44	1900	20.7
Chaetomium++	2	90	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	92	3900	8.1	11	470	1.7	10	430	4.7
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	1	40	0.1	-	-	-	2	90	1
Ganoderma	11	470	1	5	200	0.7	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	27	1200	2.5	4	200	0.7	2	90	1
Pithomyces++	1	10*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.4
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bispora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	1	40	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	1134	48290	100	656	27960	100	215	9160	100
Hyphal Fragment	4	200	-	1	40	-	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	1	10*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com
MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

**EMSL Canada Inc.**

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0001			552411265-0002			552411265-0003		
Client Sample ID:	1			2			4		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 1			Attic of Unit 2			Attic of Unit 2		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
 Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location:	552411265-0004			552411265-0005			552411265-0006		
	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	Attic of Unit 2			Attic of Unit 2			Attic of Unit 2		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	4	200	1.3	9	400	2	6	300	1.7
Ascospores	40	1700	11.4	75	3200	15.8	53	2300	13.4
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	88	3800	25.4	36	1500	7.4	43	1800	10.5
Basidiospores	100(150)	6400	42.8	108(231)	9860	48.5	108(203)	8660	50.4
Chaetomium++	1	40	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	51	2200	14.7	104	4440	21.9	83	3500	20.4
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	1	40	0.3	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Ganoderma	2	90	0.6	11	470	2.3	8	300	1.7
Myxomycetes++	5	200	1.3	5	200	1	7	300	1.7
Pithomyces++	2	90	0.6	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Rust	1	10*	0.1	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	1	40	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10*	0.1
Bispora	1	40	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	2	90	0.6	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	349	14940	100	477	20310	100	404	17170	100
Hyphal Fragment	3	100	-	4	200	-	1	40	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	1	40	-	-	-	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0004			552411265-0005			552411265-0006		
Client Sample ID:	6			7			8		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 2			Attic of Unit 2			Attic of Unit 2		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	3	-	-	3	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0007			552411265-0008			552411265-0009		
Client Sample ID:	9			10			11		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	10	430	1.9	11	470	3	Present	Present	-
Ascospores	47	2000	9.1	32	1400	9	Present	Present	-
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	36	1500	6.8	41	1700	11	Present	Present	-
Basidiospores	105(197)	8410	38.1	109(182)	7770	50.1	Present	Present	-
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	107(201)	8580	38.9	84	3600	23.2	Present	Present	-
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	1	40	0.2	2	30*	0.2	Present*	Present*	-
Ganoderma	7	300	1.4	8	300	1.9	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	18	770	3.5	5	200	1.3	Present	Present	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	1	40	0.3	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	Present	Present	-
Bispora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	1	10*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	Present	Present	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	519	22080	100	366	15510	100	-	-	-
Hyphal Fragment	4	200	-	3	100	-	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0007			552411265-0008			552411265-0009		
Client Sample ID:	9			10			11		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	5	-

552411265-0009 - Overloaded

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

Page 6 of 16



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0010			552411265-0011			552411265-0012		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	12	75	Attic of Unit 9	1	10*	0.1	1	40	0.3
			Attic of Unit 9	27	1200	14.5	21	900	6.4
			Attic of Unit 9	42	1800	21.8	105(158)	6740	48
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	11	470	6.1	1	10*	0.1	1	40	0.3
Ascospores	15	640	8.3	27	1200	14.5	21	900	6.4
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	53	2300	29.9	42	1800	21.8	105(158)	6740	48
Basidiospores	50	2100	27.3	51	2200	26.7	100	4270	30.4
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	1	40	0.5	-	-	-
Cladosporium	40	1700	22.1	63	2700	32.7	44	1900	13.5
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	5	200	2.6	2	90	1.1	1	40	0.3
Ganoderma	-	-	-	2	90	1.1	3	100	0.7
Myxomycetes++	4	200	2.6	-	-	-	1	10*	0.1
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	1	40	0.5	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	1	40	0.5	1	40	0.3
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	1	40	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	1	40	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bispora	-	-	-	1	40	0.5	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	180	7690	100	192	8250	100	330	14040	100
Hyphal Fragment	3	100	-	1	40	-	1	40	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

Page 7 of 16



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0010			552411265-0011			552411265-0012		
Client Sample ID:	12			14			15		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	3	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	3	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0013			552411265-0014			552411265-0015		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	16	75	Attic of Unit 9	17	75	Attic of Unit 9	18	75	Attic of Unit 9
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	1	40	0.2	2	90	1.1	-	-	-
Ascospores	71	3000	14.9	24	1000	12.4	44	1900	11.8
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	120(164)	7000	34.8	30	1300	16.1	40	1700	10.5
Basidiospores	109(182)	7770	38.6	64	2700	33.4	107(134)	5720	35.5
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	3	100	1.2	-	-	-
Cladosporium	47	2000	9.9	63	2700	33.4	107(146)	6230	38.6
Curvularia	1	10*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	3	100	0.5	3	100	1.2	6	300	1.9
Myxomycetes++	2	90	0.4	3	100	1.2	4	200	1.2
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bispora	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.2
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.2
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	474	20130	100	192	8090	100	376	16130	100
Hyphal Fragment	1	40	-	2	90	-	1	40	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	1	10*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

**EMSL Canada Inc.**

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0013			552411265-0014			552411265-0015		
Client Sample ID:	16			17			18		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	3	-	-	4	-	-	3	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
 Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0016			552411265-0017			552411265-0018		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	19	75	Attic of Unit 9	20	75	Attic of Unit 9	21	900	5
			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	1	40	0.2	1	40	0.2	21	900	5
Ascospores	40	1700	9.1	24	1000	4.2	26	1100	6.1
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	69	2900	15.6	151(324)	13800	58.6	89	3800	20.9
Basidiospores	104(111)	4740	25.5	64	2700	11.5	83	3500	19.3
Chaetomium++	1	10*	0.1	15	640	2.7	9	400	2.2
Cladosporium	104(195)	8320	44.8	85	3600	15.3	105(158)	6740	37.1
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	11	470	2.6
Ganoderma	9	400	2.2	2	90	0.4	5	200	1.1
Myxomycetes++	7	300	1.6	4	200	0.8	15	640	3.5
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.2
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	1	40	0.2	33	1400	5.9	2	90	0.5
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	1	40	0.2
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bispora	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	200	1.1
Botrytis	1	10*	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.2
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	2	90	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	438	18590	100	554	23550	100	426	18160	100
Hyphal Fragment	4	200	-	6	300	-	3	100	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

Page 11 of 16



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0016			552411265-0017			552411265-0018		
Client Sample ID:	19			20			21		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	3	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0019			552411265-0020			552411265-0021		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	22	75	Attic of Unit 9	23	75	Attic of Unit 9	24	75	Attic of Unit 9
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	1	40	0.3	-	-	-
Ascospores	19	810	12	40	1700	11.3	56	2400	19.6
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	18	770	11.4	82	3500	23.3	32	1400	11.4
Basidiospores	74	3200	47.3	78	3300	22	103	4400	35.9
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	8	300	2	-	-	-
Cladosporium	40	1700	25.1	100(115)	4910	32.7	88	3800	31
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	1	10*	0.1	1	40	0.3
Ganoderma	5	200	3	5	200	1.3	2	90	0.7
Myxomycetes++	1	40	0.6	8	300	2	2	90	0.7
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10*	0.1
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	16	680	4.5	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	1	40	0.3	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bispora	-	-	-	1	40	0.3	-	-	-
Botrytis	1	40	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.3
Total Fungi	158	6760	100	356	15020	100	286	12270	100
Hyphal Fragment	2	90	-	6	300	-	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10*	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. *- Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

Page 13 of 16



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0019			552411265-0020			552411265-0021		
Client Sample ID:	22			23			24		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Background (1-5)	-	3	-	-	4	-	-	3	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

**EMSL Canada Inc.**

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0022			552411265-0023			552411265-0024		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	25	75	Attic of Unit 9	26	75	Attic of Unit 9	EXT-17	75	Exterior Background
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	2	90	1.5	-	-	-
Ascospores	-	-	-	20	850	14.3	111(416)	17800	28.2
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	-	-	-	19	810	13.6	10	430	0.7
Basidiospores	-	-	-	54	2300	38.7	128(960)	41000	64.9
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	1	40	0.7	-	-	-
Cladosporium	-	-	-	39	1700	28.6	71	3000	4.7
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	3	100	1.7	21	900	1.4
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	1	10*	0.2	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.1
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bispora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spegazzinia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	1	40	0.7	-	-	-
Total Fungi	-	-	-	140	5940	100	1479	63170	100
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	1	40	-	1	40	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com
 MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411265
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/17/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:24 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/23/2024 - 07/25/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411265-0022			552411265-0023			552411265-0024		
Client Sample ID:	25			26			EXT-17		
Volume (L):	75			75			75		
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 9			Attic of Unit 9			Exterior Background		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	2	-

552411265-0022 - Not Submitted

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:54 AM

Page 16 of 16



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com / torontolab@emsl.com>

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location:	552411269-0001			552411269-0002			552411269-0003		
	Ext. 18			27			28		
	75			75			75		
	Exterior Background			Attic of Unit 27			Attic of Unit 28		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	6	300	3	Present	Present	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	41	1700	17.1	Present	Present	-	11	470	9.2
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	14	600	6	Present	Present	-	14	600	11.8
Basidiospores	104(142)	6060	61.1	Present	Present	-	36	1500	29.5
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	Present	Present	-	1	10*	0.2
Cladosporium	22	940	9.5	Present	Present	-	54	2300	45.2
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	1	40	0.4	-	-	-	1	40	0.8
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	2	90	0.9	Present	Present	-	1	40	0.8
Myxomycetes++	2	90	0.9	Present	Present	-	2	90	1.8
Pithomyces++	1	10*	0.1	-	-	-	1	40	0.8
Rust	2	90	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	Present	Present	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	Present	Present	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	233	9920	100	-	-	-	121	5090	100
Hyphal Fragment	1	40	-	Present	Present	-	1	10*	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	1	-	-	5	-	-	4	-

552411269-0002 - Overloaded

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

Page 1 of 8



EMSL Canada Inc.
 2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com / torontolab@emsl.com>

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location:	552411269-0004			552411269-0005			552411269-0006		
	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	Attic of Unit 28			Attic of Unit 28			Attic of Unit 28		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	4	200	1	13	560	3.5	3	100	0.5
Ascospores	42	1800	9.4	35	1500	9.3	79	3400	16.1
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	63	2700	14.2	60	2600	16.1	31	1300	6.1
Basidiospores	100(115)	4910	25.8	74	3200	19.8	111(208)	8880	42
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	105(197)	8410	44.1	103(172)	7340	45.4	108(162)	6910	32.7
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	4	200	1.2	-	-	-
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	7	300	1.6	5	200	1.2	5	200	0.9
Myxomycetes++	8	300	1.6	12	510	3.2	6	300	1.4
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	1	10*	0
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	2	90	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	7	300	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.2
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10*	0
Total Fungi	446	19050	100	376	16150	100	497	21150	100
Hyphal Fragment	7	300	-	9	400	-	4	200	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	1	10*	-	1	10*	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	3	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

Page 2 of 8



EMSL Canada Inc.
 2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3


Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location:	552411269-0007			552411269-0008			552411269-0009		
	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	1	40	0.3	3	100	1	3	100	2
Ascospores	74	3200	21.8	29	1200	12.3	17	720	14.3
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	23	980	6.7	47	2000	20.5	3	100	2
Basidiospores	112(210)	8960	61	63	2700	27.7	34	1400	27.8
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	26	1100	7.5	73	3100	31.8	53	2200	43.7
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10*	0.2
Epicoccum	-	-	-	3	100	1	1	10*	0.2
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	3	100	0.7	6	300	3.1	8	300	6
Myxomycetes++	8	300	2	3	100	1	4	200	4
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	1	40	0.4	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	2	80	0.8	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	1	40	0.4	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	345	14680	100	231	9760	100	124	5040	100
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	3	100	-	6	300	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	42	-	-	42	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	4	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.


 Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
 Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

**EMSL Canada Inc.**

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411269-0010			552411269-0011			552411269-0012		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	37	75	Attic of Unit 34	38	75	Attic of Unit 34	39	75	Attic of Unit 34
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	1	10*	0.3	2	90	1.4	-	-	-
Ascospores	11	470	12.1	15	640	10.2	8	300	4.9
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	18	770	19.7	11	470	7.5	24	1000	16.4
Basidiospores	47	2000	51.3	41	1700	27.1	55	2300	37.6
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	1	10*	0.3	2	90	1.4	1	40	0.7
Cladosporium	14	600	15.4	67	2900	46.3	51	2200	36
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	1	40	0.6	2	90	1.5
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	1	40	1	4	200	3.2	2	90	1.5
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	1	40	0.6	2	90	1.5
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	1	10*	0.2	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	1	40	0.6	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	1	10*	0.2	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	1	40	0.6	-	-	-
Total Fungi	93	3900	100	148	6270	100	145	6110	100
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	2	90	-	2	90	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	1	40	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Background (1-5)	-	3	-	-	3	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

Page 4 of 8



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411269-0013			552411269-0014			552411269-0015		
	Client Sample ID:	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m ³	% of Total
Volume (L):	41			42			43		
Sample Location:	75			75			75		
	Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m ³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	1	10*	0.2	1	40	0.7
Ascospores	6	300	24.6	6	300	6	8	300	5.2
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	1	40	3.3	59	2500	50	46	2000	34.7
Basidiospores	13	560	45.9	28	1200	24	35	1500	26
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	7	300	24.6	21	900	18	39	1700	29.5
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	1	40	0.8	2	90	1.6
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	0.7
Myxomycetes++	1	10*	0.8	-	-	-	2	90	1.6
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	1	10*	0.2	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	1	40	0.8	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	1	10*	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	29	1220	100	118	5000	100	134	5760	100
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10*	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	43	-	-	43	-	-	43	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

MIC_M001_0002_0003 Printed: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

Page 5 of 8



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
290 King St East
Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3
Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411269-0016			552411269-0017			552411269-0018		
	Client Sample ID:	44		45		46			
Volume (L):	75			75		75			
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	1	10*	0.1	1	40	0.2	2	80	0.7
Ascospores	31	1300	7.8	8	300	1.6	15	630	5.5
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	14	590	3.5	102(332)	14000	73.7	82	3500	30.5
Basidiospores	106(153)	6460	38.7	41	1700	8.9	71	3000	26.2
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	2	80	0.7
Cladosporium	116(189)	7980	47.8	67	2800	14.7	98	4100	35.7
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	8	300	1.8	2	80	0.4	1	40	0.3
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	1	40	0.2	1	40	0.3
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	397	16680	100	453	19000	100	272	11470	100
Hyphal Fragment	8	300	-	1	40	-	3	100	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-	-	42	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	4	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.
 2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com> / torontolab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3


Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411269-0019			552411269-0020			552411269-0021		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
	47	75	Attic of Unit 34	48	75	Attic of Unit 34	49	75	Attic of Unit 34
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	21	890	4.1	1	40	0.2	-	-	-
Ascospores	29	1200	5.5	18	760	4.7	10	420	9.1
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	104(169)	7130	32.6	44	1900	11.8	9	400	8.6
Basidiospores	100(108)	4560	20.9	48	2000	12.4	16	680	14.7
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	1	40	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	110(179)	7550	34.6	116(251)	10600	65.8	73	3100	66.8
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	2	80	0.4	-	-	-	1	40	0.9
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	5	200	0.9	9	400	2.5	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	1	40	0.2	6	300	1.9	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	2	80	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	2	80	0.4	3	100	0.6	-	-	-
Total Fungi	519	21850	100	380	16100	100	109	4640	100
Hyphal Fragment	14	590	-	1	40	-	2	80	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	1	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-	-	42	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	3	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.


 Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.
 Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM



EMSL Canada Inc.
 2756 Slough Street Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3
 Tel/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607
<http://www.EMSL.com / torontolab@emsl.com>

EMSL Order: 552411269
Customer ID: 55CUCE75
Customer PO: 24-248CG
Project ID:

Attention: Dave Giles
 Caskanette & Assoc Consulting Engineers
 290 King St East
 Kitchener, ON N2G 2L3

Phone: (519) 496-7007
Fax:
Collected Date: 07/18/2024
Received Date: 07/22/2024 10:21 AM
Analyzed Date: 07/22/2024 - 07/24/2024

Project: 24-248CG Brantford

Test Report: Air-O-Cell™ Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number:	552411269-0022			552411269-0023		
	Client Sample ID:	Volume (L):	Sample Location:	51	75	
Sample Location:	Attic of Unit 34			Attic of Unit 34		
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	6	300	2.6	43	1800	3.4
Ascospores	7	300	2.6	30	1300	2.4
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	41	1700	14.7	108(702)	29600	55.4
Basidiospores	37	1600	13.8	38	1600	3
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	1	40	0.3	-	-	-
Cladosporium	120(173)	7300	63.1	102(442)	18700	35
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	2	80	0.7	5	200	0.4
Myxomycetes++	4	200	1.7	4	200	0.4
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-
Botrytis	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Torula++	1	40	0.3	-	-	-
Total Fungi	272	11560	100	1264	53400	100
Hyphal Fragment	4	200	-	5	200	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	4	-	-	3	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.
 ++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager
 or other Approved Signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/25/2024 10:47 AM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

Limitations

1. The work performed in the preparation of this report and the conclusions presented are subject to the following:
 - (a) The Scope of Services, and time and budgetary limitations discussed at the time of our retainer; and,
 - (b) The Limitations stated herein.
2. No other warranties or representations, either expressed or implied, are made as to the professional services provided, or the conclusions presented.
3. The opinions presented in this report were based, in part, on visual observations of the site and attendant structures. Our conclusions cannot and are not extended to include those portions of the site or structures which were not reasonably available, in our opinion, for direct observation.
4. In so far as the investigation included obtaining information from third parties and employees or agents of the owner, no attempt has been made to verify the accuracy of any information provided, unless specifically noted in our report.
5. Because of the limitations referred to above, different building conditions from those stated in our report may exist. Should such different conditions be encountered, we must be notified in order that we may determine if modifications to the conclusions in the report are necessary.
6. The utilization of our services during the implementation of any remedial measures will allow us to observe compliance with the conclusions and recommendations contained in the report. Our involvement will also allow for changes to be made as necessary to suit field conditions as they are encountered.
7. This report is for the sole use of the party to whom it is addressed unless expressly stated otherwise in the report. Any use which any third party makes of the report, in whole or in part, or any reliance thereon, or decisions made based on any information of conclusions in the report, is the sole responsibility of such third party. We accept no responsibility whatsoever for damages or loss of any nature or kind suffered by any such third party as a result of actions taken or not taken or decisions made in reliance on the report or anything set out therein.

8. **Waiver of Consequential Damages**

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, neither the Client or the Consultant, their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, contractors or subconsultants shall be liable to the other or shall make any claim for any incidental, indirect or consequential damages arising out of or connected in any way to the project or this assignment. This mutual waiver of consequential damages shall include, but is not limited to, loss of use, loss of profit, loss of business, loss of income, loss of reputation and any other consequential damages that either party may have incurred from any cause of action including negligence, strict liability, breach of contract and breach of strict or implied warranty. Both the Client and the Consultant shall require similar waivers of consequential damages protecting all the entities or persons named herein in all contracts and subcontracts with others involved in this project.

9. **Limitation of Liability**

To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Client agrees to limit the Consultant's liability for the Client's damages to the sum of the Consultant's fee or the available proceeds of insurance at the time a claim is made, whichever is greater. This limitation shall apply regardless of the cause of action.

10. **Corporate Protection Provision**

It is intended by the parties to this Agreement that the Consultant's services in connection with the Project shall not subject the Consultant's individual employees, officers or directors to any personal legal exposure for the risks associated with this Project. Therefore, and notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the Client agrees that as the Client's sole and exclusive remedy, any claim, demand or suit shall be directed and/or asserted only against the Consultant, Caskanette & Associates Consulting Engineers, and not against any of the Consultant's individual employees, officers or directors.